| Guido Saveri (22349)                                   |  |
|--|--|
| R. Alexander Saveri (173102)                           |  |
| Geoffrey C. Rushing (126910)                           |  |
| grushing@saveri.com Matthew D. Heaphy (227224)         |  |
| mheaphy@saveri.com                                     |  |
| 706 Sansome Street                                     |  |
| Telephone: (415) 217-6810<br>Facsimile: (415) 217-6813 |  |
| Lead Counsel for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs           | S  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| UNITED STAT  | TES DISTRICT COURT   |
| NORTHERN DIS   | STRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |
| SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION                                 |  |
| IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)                          | Master File No. 07-CV-5944-JST   |
| ANTITRUST LITIGATION                                   | MDL No. 1917   |
| This Document Relates to:                              | DECLARATION OF R. ALEXANDER  |
| ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS                           | SAVERI IN SUPPORT OF DIRECT<br>PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION<br>FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT BY THE<br>COURT AGAINST THE IRICO<br>DEFENDANTS   |
|  | Date: September 12, 2017   |
|  | Time: 2:00 p.m. Judge: Honorable Jon S. Tigar  |
| MARKALLA LIPA - I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I    | Courtroom: 9   |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| REDACTED VERS  | SION OF DOCUMENT   |
| SOUGHT 7   | TO BE SEALED   |
|  | R. Alexander Saveri (173102) rick@saveri.com Geoffrey C. Rushing (126910) grushing@saveri.com Matthew D. Heaphy (227224) mheaphy@saveri.com SAVERI & SAVERI, INC. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-6810 Facsimile: (415) 217-6813  Lead Counsel for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs  NORTHERN DIS SAN FRANTITRUST LITIGATION  This Document Relates to:  ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS |

26

27

28

## I, R. Alexander Saveri, declare:

- 1. I am the Managing Partner of Saveri & Saveri, Inc., Lead Counsel for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") in this action. I am a member of the Bar of the State of California and admitted to practice in the Northern District of California. I make this Declaration in Support of Plaintiffs' Application for Default Judgment by the Court Against the Irico Defendants. Except as otherwise stated, I have personal knowledge of the facts stated below.
- 2. On September 1, 2016, Leslie M. Marx, Ph.D., completed her expert report on behalf of Plaintiffs in anticipation of trial against the Mitsubishi Electric Defendants. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Dr. Marx's report.
- 3. This multidistrict litigation arises from an alleged worldwide conspiracy to fix prices of Cathode Ray Tubes ("CRTs"). CRTs are the primary components of CRT televisions and computer monitors. The complaint alleged a conspiracy involving some of the largest companies in the world, including Chunghwa, Hitachi, LG Electronics, Mitsubishi Electric, Panasonic, Philips, Samsung SDI, Thomson, Toshiba and the Irico Defendants.
- 4. After the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") announced its investigation into the conspiracy in November 2007, twenty direct purchaser plaintiff class action complaints were filed alleging a violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, and Section 4 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 15.
- 5. In the *In re Monosodium Glutamate Antitrust Litigation* (D. Minn.), the Court entered default judgment against Defendant Tung Hai Fermentation Industrial Corporation n/k/a Vedan Enterprises Group in the amount of \$184,700,000 on motion of direct purchaser plaintiffs for whom I served as counsel. Attached hereto as <a href="Exhibit B">Exhibit B</a> is a true and correct copy of the court's order entering default judgment, ECF No. 486, dated September 10, 2004. Attached hereto as <a href="Exhibit C">Exhibit C</a> is a true and correct copy of the court's order entering default judgment and awarding post-judgment interest on the 2004 judgment, ECF No. 613, dated August 4, 2016.
- 6. On November 6, 2014, Jeffrey J. Leitzinger, Ph.D., completed his expert report on behalf of Plaintiffs, which was used in support of Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of Dr. Leitzinger's class certification report.

|       | 7.              | On September 1, 2016, Jeffrey J. Leitzinger, Ph.D., completed his expert report on   |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| beha  | lf of Plai      | ntiffs in anticipation of trial against the Mitsubishi Electric Defendants. Attached |
| heret | o as <u>Exh</u> | ibit E is a true and correct copy of Dr. Leitzinger's report.                        |

- 8. On September 1, 2016, Dr. Leitzinger's final study was transmitted to the Mitsubishi Electric Defendants.
- 9. I understand from attorneys working under my direction that the documentary evidence shows that representatives of the Irico Defendants attended at least
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of a document produced in this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU00030679–83. It was marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 1312.
- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of a document produced in this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU000030688–91. It was marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 1303.
- 12. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit H</u> is a true and correct copy of a document produced in this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU00030695–97. It was marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 1301.
- 13. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit I</u> is a true and correct copy of a document produced in this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU00030819–33. It was marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 1305.
- 14. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of a document produced in this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU0029110–15. It was marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 2260.
- 15. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit K</u> is a true and correct copy of a document produced in this litigation bearing the Bates stamp SDCRT-0087694.
- 16. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit L</u> is a true and correct copy of a document produced in this litigation bearing the Bates stamp SDCRT-0087700-02.
  - 17. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit M</u> is a true and correct copy of a document produced in

| 1  | this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU00030067.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | 18. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit N</u> is a true and correct copy of a document produced in   |
| 3  | this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU00123358-61. It was marked as Deposition Exhibit No |
| 4  | 1267.  |
| 5  | 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit O is a true and correct copy of a document produced in          |
| 6  | this litigation bearing the Bates stamp SDCRT-0091524–30.                                      |
| 7  | 20. Attached hereto as Exhibit P is a true and correct copy of a document produced in          |
| 8  | this litigation bearing the Bates stamp CHU00102752-54.  |
| 9  | I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that     |
| 0  | the foregoing is true and correct.   |
| 1  | Executed this 3rd day of August, 2017 in San Francisco, California.                            |
| 2  | /a/ D. Alaugu dan Canani   |
| 3  | /s/ R. Alexander Saveri R. Alexander Saveri  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |